

**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> :</b> <b>C12N 9/00, B05D 7/00</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 93/07260</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 15 April 1993 (15.04.93)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US91/07675 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 10 October 1991 (10.10.91) <b>(71) Applicant:</b> GENENCOR INTERNATIONAL, INC. [US/US]; 180 Kimball Way, So. San Francisco, CA 94080 (US). <b>(72) Inventors:</b> BECKER, Nathaniel, T. ; 2116 Hillside Drive, Burlingame, CA 94010 (US). CROWLEY, Richard, P. ; 2 Rhinecliff Drive, Rochester, NY 14618 (US). <b>(74) Agent:</b> PASSE, James, G.; Genencor International, Inc., 180 Kimball Way, So. San Francisco, CA 94080 (US).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AU, CA, DK, FI, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IT, LU, NL, SE).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> PROCESS FOR DUST-FREE ENZYME MANUFACTURE  <b>(57) Abstract</b> <p>This invention relates to processes for manufacture of substantially dust-free particles of enzymes by spray-coating solid particulate cores or seeds with fermentation broths containing enzymes. Fermentation broths containing from 5 % to 100 % total solids as enzyme and 4 % - 55 % total solids content in the broth may be used. For broths containing 4 % - 31 % total solids, enzyme and coating agents may be spray-coated so as to achieve a weight gain of 1 % - 24 % w/w over the initial weight of the cores. For broths with 31 % - 55 % total solids, a corresponding weight gain of 1 % - 100 % may be achieved. The enzyme coated cores may be further coated with coating agents. The total dry weight added to the cores ranges from 1 % to 19 % in the absence of coating agents and 1 % to 24 % in the presence of coating agents when the lower range of total solids content is used. The total dry weight added to the cores ranges from 1 % to 100 % when the higher range of total solids content is used. These conditions are especially useful in the granulation of enzymes with very high specific activities, so that effective enzyme activity can be provided by adding low amounts of broth solids to the cores. Reduction in added solids is of significant economic benefit in reducing granulation costs.</p>		

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

*FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY*

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovak Republic
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	SU	Soviet Union
CS	Czechoslovakia	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	MG	Madagascar	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	ML	Mali	UA	Ukraine
DK	Denmark	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
ES	Spain			VN	Viet Nam
FI	Finland				

## PROCESS FOR DUST-FREE ENZYME MANUFACTURE

### Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a novel procedure for making dry and dust-free enzyme granules from a fermentation broth containing the enzyme.

### Background of the Invention

Many commercially useful enzymes are produced by microorganisms, particularly bacteria, yeast, and filamentous fungi. These enzymes are especially useful in detergent and food applications. For example, enzymes useful in detergent applications, such as proteases, amylases, lipases, and cellulases, have been produced in a wide variety of microbial hosts. When enzymes are produced in a microbial host they are usually either secreted directly into the fermentation broth by the microorganism or released into the fermentation broth by lysing the cell. The enzyme can then be recovered from the broth in a soluble form by a number of techniques including filtration, centrifugation, membrane filtration, chromatography,

and the like. The dissolved enzyme can be converted to a dry form from a liquid using techniques such as precipitation, crystallization, or spray-drying. A problem associated with dry enzyme preparations is that there is a high dust level associated with them, which can cause dermatologic distress to the manufacturer, consumer, or any other person handling the enzyme. It has been a desire in the art to treat these dry enzymes so as to reduce the hazard of dusting. To control dusting and increase particle size, dry enzymes are often granulated by various means known by those skilled in the art. Various enzyme formulations and processes for these preparations have been developed in an effort to alleviate the dusting problem. For example, German Patent No. 21 37 042 discloses a process in which an extrudable enzyme containing formulation is extruded through a die onto the revolving plate of a spheronizing device to form spherical particles of the enzyme-containing formulations which are optionally coated with a material designed to prevent dusting.

In U.S. Pat. No. 4,087,368, there is disclosed an enzyme granule formulation in which rods or spheres of an enzyme in admixture with magnesium alkyl sulfate and ethylene oxide are provided.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,016,040 discloses a method for the preparation of free-flowing substantially dust-free, spherical enzyme-containing beads prepared by blending a powdered

3

concentrate of the enzyme with a binder in molten form and spraying droplets of the blend through a spray nozzle into cool air to solidify the droplets and form the beads.

In U.S. Pat. No. 4,242,219, there is claimed a process for the preparation of enzyme-containing particles prepared by mixing the dry enzyme with a hydrophilic organic cohesive material, a building agent, or a mixture regulating agent and mechanically dividing it into particles of the desired size and shape which are then coated with a water repellent material.

Another type of granular enzyme formulation is described in U.S. Pat. No 4,009,076. This formulation is prepared by mixing the dry enzyme with a solid nonviable substance and optionally a cohesive organic material as binder to form an enzymatically active core. An enzyme slurry containing the cohesive organic material can be sprayed onto, for example, sodium tripolyphosphate and the cohesive organic material sprayed onto it with subsequent extrusion through a die. The enzyme-containing granule is sprayed with an aqueous solution containing a plasticized organic resin, then dried.

A process is described in GDR Pat. 0 151 598 in which sodium tripolyphosphate is sprayed with an aqueous fermentation broth and agglomerated in a cyclone apparatus. The agglomerates are removed from the cyclone apparatus while still wet and placed

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET**

in a mechanical blender with a drying detergent formulation and intensively mixed.

In British Pat. No. 1,483,591, there is described a process for coating water soluble or water dispersible particles, including enzyme particles, using a fluidized-bed reactor. This reference involves a dust-free coating technique for enzyme particles which have been granulated by other processes such as prilling or spheronizing.

In U.S. Patent 4,689,297 there is described a method for preparing dust-free enzyme involving dissolving or suspending dry enzyme in solution to make a slurry with 15% - 30% total solids, of which at least 30% w/w of the solids is enzyme, spraying it on a hydratable core, and then coating it with macromolecular material, so as to increase the dry weight of the core by between 25 and 55%.

Advances in enzyme engineering and selection have led to the development of enzymes which are very active on a specific weight basis, thereby substantially reducing the percentage of total added enzyme broth solids needed in producing an effective granular product. Furthermore, proper selection of core and coating materials significantly reduces the levels of coating agents required for adequate encapsulation and dust control.

It is desirable to be able to produce a dry dust-free product with a relatively low percentage of total weight as enzyme, especially with enzymes of high specific activity.

With these more active enzymes, it is also sometimes desirable to feed the spray-coater with enzyme solutions of very high solids concentrations. This can occur for several reasons:

(1) proteases of high specific activity are often more subject to autolysis, and the addition of large percentages of solid stabilizer compounds may be needed to achieve high process yields; (2) in general, it is most economical to remove as much water as possible from the enzyme solution prior to spray-coating by methods such as ultrafiltration, thereby reducing the batch cycle time in the spray-coater; (3) it is sometimes desirable to add processing aids such as binders or powders into the enzyme concentrate to reduce product dustiness or help prevent excessive agglomeration of the granules. For any of these reasons, it may be desirable to provide a fermentation broth containing between about 31% and 55% solids on a dry weight basis, so long as it is not too viscous to be pumped through a spray nozzle.

This invention achieves these and other desirable objectives in a cost-effective, efficient, and safe manner.

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET**

Summary of the Invention

It has surprisingly been discovered that a dry dust-free enzyme particle can be produced from fermentation broth by the following method:

- a) introducing a particulate, hydratable core material into a fluidized-bed spray-coater and maintaining the core particles suspended in the reaction chamber: optionally, producing, building up, or otherwise modifying the core particles in a fluidized-bed spray-coater and maintaining the core particles suspended in the reaction chamber;
- b) providing a fermentation broth containing from about 5% to about 100% w/w of the total solids therein of a water soluble or dispersible enzyme produced in the fermentation broth and a total solids content of 4 - 31% w/w of the fermentation broth such that the broth has a viscosity of 10 - 5,000 cps at room temperature and;
- c) spraying the broth onto the core and evaporating the liquid to leave the solids coated on the core, such that the fermentation broth solids added to the core provides a total dry weight gain of 1% - 19% w/w over the initial weight of the core, and optionally the additional step of:
- d) spraying a coating agent over the product of step (c) and evaporating the liquid to leave the coating agent over the solids of (c) such that the total solids consisting of fermentation broth solids plus coating agent added to the core provides a

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET**



total dry weight gain of 1% - 24% w/w over the initial weight of the core.

Also disclosed is a similar process in which the fermentation broth has a total solids content of 31% to 55% w/w. In this process the total dry weight gain of the core particles is from 1% to 100% w/w. The enzyme-containing particles prepared by these processes are included in this invention.

#### Detailed Description of the Invention

The method of the present invention is carried out in a fluidized bed spray-coater. Typically, such a device comprises a fluidized-bed dryer consisting of a conical product chamber that has a porous grid on the bottom and is open on the top to be put up against a cylindrical or conical shaped expansion chamber of a larger diameter than the product chamber; a filter to collect dust and help air flow is placed at the far end of the expansion chamber and one or more spray nozzles are located within the chamber to apply the solution to the core. In operation, as the velocity of air passing up through the chamber is increased, a point is reached where particles resting on the porous grid are suspended in the air flow as a fluid, hence the terms "fluidization" and "fluidized-bed dryer". The particles are lifted by the upward force of the air out of the product chamber into the expansion chamber where the air expands

and the upward force per unit of area is reduced. This allows the particles to fall back into the product chamber and start the cycle over.

The initial step in the method involves introducing a particulate, hydratable core material into the reaction chamber of the fluidized-bed dryer and suspending the particles therein on a stream of air. The core particles preferably are composed of a highly hydratable material, i.e. a material which is readily dispersible or soluble in water. The core material should either disperse (fall apart by failure to maintain its integrity) or dissolve by going into a true solution. Clays (bentonite, kaolin), non pareils, and agglomerated potato starch are considered dispersible. Non pareils are spherical particles consisting of a solid nucleus that has been rounded into a spherical shape by binding layers of powder and crystallized solute, generally starch and sugar, to the nucleus in a rotating spherical container and are preferred. Non pareil particles are often called "seeds".

Salt particles ( $\text{NaCl}$  crystals,  $\text{NaCl}$  rock salt,  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ ) are considered soluble. Also suitable are agglomerated trisodium citrate, pan crystallized  $\text{NaCl}$  flakes, bentonite granules and prills, bentonite/kaolin/diatomaceous earth disk-pelletized granules, and sodium citrate crystals. The core particle is of a material which is not dissolved during the subsequent

spraying process and is preferably of a particle size from 150 to 2,000 microns (100 mesh to 10 mesh on the U.S. Standard Sieve Series) in its longest dimension.

By fermentation broth is meant the liquid in which the enzyme is produced by fermentation of a microorganism. The broth may be modified by deleting or adding material, e.g. filtration of cell solids or addition of binders, salts, pigments, plasticizers, and fragrances, however, it still contains the enzyme. It also may be concentrated or purified by removal or substitution of a portion of the liquid material, by such processes as ultrafiltration, extraction, and chromatography.

Enzymes suitable for use in this method are those which are soluble or dispersible in the fermentation broth they are produced in and from which the volatile components of the fermentation broth can be removed to leave a residual layer of enzyme on the surface of the core material. Suitable enzymes include, for example, proteases (bacterial, fungal, acid, neutral, or alkaline), amylases (alpha and beta), lipases, and cellulases. The enzyme is present in the broth at from about 5% to about 100% w/w of total solids, and fermentation broth solids range from about 0.5% to about 95.5% w/w of total solids in the fermentation broth, with any remaining solids comprising added metallic salts, sugars, pigments, binders, stabilizers, plasticizers, and fragrances such that total solids represent

4% - 31% w/w of the fermentation broth. The broth, including any optional metallic salts, sugars, pigments, binders, stabilizers, plasticizers, and fragrances, must have a viscosity low enough to be pumped and atomized for effective spray-coating (typically 10 to 5,000 cps at room temperature). The broth solids and enzymes are applied to the surface of the core material by fluidizing the core particles in a flow of air whereupon a broth containing the enzyme and other solids is then atomized and sprayed into the expansion chamber of the spray-coater. The atomized droplets contact the surface of the core particles leaving a film of the solids adhering to the surface of the particles when the water and other volatiles are evaporated.

Airflow is maintained upwards and out the top of the expansion chamber through a filter. The filter may be located inside or outside of the unit, or may be substituted for by a scrubber or cyclone. This filter traps fine dried particles which contribute to dust. Fluidized-bed spray-coaters that have this filter typically have automatic shakers which shake the filter to prevent excessive restriction of the air flow. In a preferred embodiment, the shaker unit is turned off during the last 5 minutes of operations, thus reducing the dust content of the product due to release of fines trapped within the filter. In another preferred embodiment, the filter is located outside the unit or substituted by a scrubber or cyclone.

When recovering fermentation broth enzymes, the broth may be treated in various ways to achieve desired results. For example, the broth may be filtered to remove cells and cell debris or to remove microorganisms to yield a sterile product. The broth may be concentrated to achieve the desired total solids concentration of about 4% to about 31% w/w of the broth. Further, as mentioned above, salts, stabilizers, etc., can also be added as desired, and the enzyme may be concentrated or purified as desired. It is further a preferred embodiment of the invention that the weight gain of the solids in the broth applied to the core over the initial dry weight of the core is about 1% to about 19% w/w.

In another distinct preferred embodiment, the desired total solids concentration is about 31% to about 55% w/w of the broth. In this embodiment, the weight gain of the solids in the broth applied to the core over the initial dry weight of the core is about 1% to about 100% w/w.

Handling the enzyme in liquid form in the fermentation broth has the advantage of lowering the possibility of dermatologic contact due to dusting and produces a product which is dust-free and minimizes losses due to any extra step of drying, since the drying process is confined to a single, well-contained reactor.

When sufficient enzyme is applied to the core particles to provide the desired enzyme activity, the enzyme coated particles, while still suspended in the reaction chamber of the coater or later reintroduced therein, are coated with a layer of a water soluble or water dispersible coating agent. This is accomplished in a manner similar to that used for application of the enzyme/solids coating. Suitable coating agents include, for example, fatty acid esters, gum arabic and other natural gums, alkoxylated alcohols, polyvinyl alcohols, ethoxylated alkylphenols and more specifically, polyethylene glycols (PEG) (molecular weight (MW) 300 to 20,000), linear alcohol alkoxylates (MW 1,450 to 2,670), polyvinyl acetate phthalate (PVAP), polymeric nonylphenyl ethoxylates (MW 1,975 to 4,315) dinonyl phenyl ethoxylate (average MW 6,900), hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose, and other modified celluloses. Other coating agents include sugars, starches, salts, titanium dioxide, and other sealants, stabilizers, release agents, binders or pigments. The net result of the process is to provide an enzyme coated core particle having a layer of the coating agent on its surface to provide the desired dust-free enzyme-containing particle. When a fermentation broth with about 4% to about 31% w/w total solids content is used, the total weight gain of solids versus the initial core is preferably from about 1% to about 24% w/w when a coating is used, and preferably from about 1% to about 19% w/w when a coating is not used. When a fermentation broth

with about 31% to about 55% w/w total solids content is used, the total weight gain of solids versus the initial core is about 1% to about 100% whether a coating is used or not.

The dust-free enzyme particles of the present invention can be used wherever enzymes are needed in a dry system. Thus, they can be used as additives to dry detergent formulations, for removing gelatin coatings on photographic films to aid in silver recovery, in the digestion of wastes from food processing plants for nitrogen recovery, in denture cleansers for removing protein bound stains, in food preparation, and as a processing aid in waste water treatment.

The following examples are representative and not intended to be limiting. One skilled in the art could choose other enzymes, broths, cores, and coating agents based on the proportions of ingredients taught herein.

#### Preferred Embodiments

##### Example 1 - Lab-Scale Spray-Coating of FNA Protease Onto Non Pareils, With Gum Arabic Coating Agent.

A WSG-5 fluidized-bed granulator was charged with 3800 grams of -35/+40 mesh non-pareil cores or seeds and heated to 60° C and fluidized. A two liter aqueous protease ultrafiltration

concentrate containing 3.8% w/w enzyme and 10.5% w/w total solids (36.2% w/w of total solids were enzyme) was sprayed onto the suspended cores at a 95° C inlet temperature and a 40° - 50° C outlet temperature. The spray rate was about 45 ml/minute (min) and enzyme coating or plating took 37 minutes, resulting in an estimated weight gain of 210 grams, or 5.5% w/w. At this point, 230 grams of material was removed for subsequent analysis, leaving 3780 grams of enzyme coated active cores. A coating agent solution containing 60 grams gum arabic in 600 ml water was applied at 40 ml/min; the entire granulation or coating lasted 56 minutes. The final product weighed approximately 3840 grams, representing a 1.6% increase over the enzyme-plated cores, and a 7.2% net increase in weight over the initial core weight.

Example 2 - Lab-Scale Spray-Coating of FNA Protease Onto Non Pareils, With PEG 8000 and  $\text{TiO}_2$  Coating Agents.

A WSG-5 fluidized-bed granulator was charged with 5000 grams of -20/+40 mesh non pareil cores or seeds which were fluidized. A 1786 ml aqueous protease concentrate containing 3.8% w/w enzyme and 10.5% w/w total solids (as in Example 1) was sprayed onto the cores at an inlet temperature of about 70° C and an outlet temperature of 40° - 50° C. The weight gain due to the protease was 188 grams, or 3.8% w/w. A coating agent solution containing 150 grams PEG 8000 and 250 grams  $\text{TiO}_2$  in 1 liter of water was sprayed on the enzyme-coated non pareils which were dried.



15

The final product weighed 5560 grams, representing an 11.2% increase over the initial core weight.

Example 3 - Spray-Coating of Lipase Onto Non Pareils, With Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (Opadry White) Coating Agent.

A Uni-Glatt laboratory fluidized-bed spray-coater was charged with 960 grams of -20/+40 mesh non pareil cores or seeds which were fluidized. A 1700 ml aqueous lipase concentrate of 6.9 gram/liter lipase with 4.9% total solids was sprayed onto the non pareil cores at an inlet temperature of 50° - 66° C and an outlet temperature of 38° - 42° C and an atomization pressure of 2.5 bar. Enzyme was 14.1% of total solids. The enzyme-coated cores weighed 962 grams, an increase of 5.0% w/w. After enzyme layering and reactor cleaning, a coating agent solution containing 70 grams Opadry White, a name used in trade for hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, and 400 grams water was sprayed onto the enzyme coated active cores, resulting in coated product weight of 1032 grams, a net increase of 12.7% w/w. This product contained 78% of the original active lipase in the feed concentrate. The product granules lost no activity after incubation at 26.7° C and 80% relative humidity over two weeks.

Example 4 - Spray-Coating of Detergent Cellulase Onto

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

### Non Pareils, Without Coating Agent.

A Uni-Glatt laboratory fluidized-bed spray-coater was charged with 1000 grams of -20/+40 mesh non pareil cores or seeds which were fluidized. An 840 ml aqueous cellulase concentrate containing 172 gram/liter enzyme and 24.7% total solids was sprayed at an inlet temperature of 50° - 64°C and an outlet temperature of 38° - 46°C at a spray-rate of about 10 ml/min. Enzyme represented 69.6% of total broth solids. At the end of the run, 1143 grams of product were recovered, representing a 14.3% w/w increase over the non pareil cores. Recovery of active enzyme was 98.8% of the ultrafiltration concentrate feed, as measured using bFPU units.

### Example 5 - Large-Scale Spray-Coating of FNA Protease Onto Non Pareils, With PEG 8000 and TiO<sub>2</sub> Coating Agents.

A modified Aeromatic S-8 fluidized-bed granulator was used for a large-scale spray-coating run. The coater was loaded with 681.8 kg (1500 lbs) non pareil seeds of -20/+40 mesh. The bowl was raised into place and the cores fluidized with 65° C inlet air until the outlet air temperature reached 45° C. A 100.4 kg aqueous protease enzyme concentrate containing 5.94 w/w active enzyme and 21.3% w/w total solids was sprayed onto the cores using a 1.8 mm multiple-head Schlick nozzle at 4 bar atomization air pressure. The outlet air temperature

was maintained between 40° and 50°C. Enzyme represented 27.9% w/w of total feed solids. Enzyme coating or layering took about 80 minutes, excluding a 15 minute shutdown to examine the product in the bowl for coating uniformity. The application rate was about 1.2 liters per minute. By calculation, this represented a weight gain of 3.1% w/w over the cores. The bowl was temporarily removed and the machine was cleaned. Overcoating was then applied to the enzyme granules in the same dryer/coater unit. The coating agent solution consisted of 123.6 kg of tap water heated to 50° C, in which 67.4 kg PEG 8000 and 33.7 kg  $\text{TiO}_2$  were dissolved or suspended to give a 150 liter solution. The enzyme coated granules were fluidized and heated to 45° C as before. Atomization air pressure was held at 4 bar and outlet air temperature varied between 40° C and 50° C. The total coating run time was 136 minutes with an application rate of about 1.1 liter per minute. After coating, the product was dried for four minutes until the outlet temperature reached 48°C, then cooled for 14 more minutes until outlet temperature reached 32°C. The bowl was removed and the product sieved to a -16/+40 cut. The mass balance is shown in Table 1. The final product represented a net increase of 13.0% w/w over the core weight using the actual product weight or a net increase of 17.9% w/w over the core weight using the theoretical sum of ingredient weights. Product dust was extremely low. The product granules produced 0.3 milligrams total dust and 2.42 micrograms protease dust per 60 gram sample when subjected to a 40 minute

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET**

standard elutriation test.

TABLE 1

	Dry Weight (kg)	Percent of Feed	Pure Enzyme (kg)	Percent of Feed
<u>Raw Materials</u>				
Cores	681.8	84.8	--	--
Enzyme Solution (5.94 % w/w enzyme)	21.4	2.7	5.96	100.0
PEG 8000	67.4	8.4	--	--
TiO <sub>2</sub>	33.7	4.2	--	--
-----				
TOTAL	804.3	100.0	5.96	100.0
 <u>End Products</u>				
(0.68 % w/w enzyme)				
Product (+40 mesh)	769.7	95.7	5.24	87.9
Fines (-40 mesh)	2.0	0.2	0.01	0.2
-----				
TOTAL	771.7	95.9	5.25	88.1

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

Example 6 - Large-Scale Spray-Coating of Alkaline Protease Onto Non Pareils, With Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and  $\text{TiO}_2$  Coating Agents.

A WSG 300 granulator is charged with 700 kg of non pareil seeds or cores of -20/+40 mesh and the cores are fluidized. A 36 kg concentrated aqueous solution containing 10% w/w protease and 29% w/w total solids, with enzyme 34.5% w/w of total solids, is sprayed onto the fluidized cores. Spraying is continued until the total charge weighs 710.4 kg, which represents a 1.49% w/w gain over the initial core weight. A coating agent solution consisting of 3.5 kg hydroxypropylmethylcellulose 3.5 kg  $\text{TiO}_2$ , and 30 kg water is sprayed on the fluidized enzyme coated cores. The total product weight is 717.4 kg, which represents a total weight gain of 2.49% w/w.

Example 7 - Large-Scale Spray-Coating of Cellulase Onto Non Pareils, With PEG 20,000 and  $\text{TiO}_2$  Coating Agents.

A WSG 300 granulator is charged with 700 kg non pareil seeds of -20/+40 mesh and the seeds are fluidized. A 334 kg aqueous concentrated cellulase solution containing 26% w/w cellulase and 39% w/w total solids, with enzyme 66.7% w/w of total solids, is sprayed onto the fluidized cores. Spraying continues until the total charge weighs 830.3 kg, which represents a gain of 18.6% w/w over the initial core weight. A coating agent solution

consisting of 18.5 kg PEG 20,000, 18.5 kg  $\text{TiO}_2$ , and 100 kg water is sprayed on the fluidized enzyme coated seeds. The total product weight is 867.3 kg, which represents a total weight gain of 23.9% w/w.

Example 8 - Spray-Coating of High Solids Protease Onto Non Pareils, With PEG 8000 and  $\text{TiO}_2$  Coating Agents.

A Glatt GPCG-5 fluidized-bed granulator is charged with 10.0 kg non pareil seeds. A 4766 gram aqueous Bacillus lichenformis subtilisin ultrafiltration concentrate containing 20% w/w enzyme solids and 32% w/w total solids, with enzyme 63% w/w of total solids, is sprayed onto the fluidized cores at a rate of 85 g/minute and an atomization air pressure of 2.5 bar. The inlet air temperature is about 70° C and the outlet temperature is about 48° C. A coating solution of 2000 grams PEG 8000 and 500 grams  $\text{TiO}_2$ , suspended in 10 liters of water, is then sprayed onto the enzyme-coated cores under the same conditions. The final coated product weighs 14.0 kg, a weight gain of 40% w/w.

Example 9 - Spray-Coating of High Solids Cellulase Concentrate Onto Non Pareils, With PEG 8000 and  $\text{TiO}_2$  Coating Agents.

A Uni-Glatt laboratory spray-coater is charged with 600 grams non pareil seeds. At an inlet temperature of 60° C, an outlet

temperature of 42° C, and an atomization air pressure of 3 bar, an aqueous cellulase concentrate of 455 grams containing 30.3% w/w enzyme and 39.5% w/w total solids, with enzyme 77% w/w of total solids, is sprayed onto the fluidized cores, increasing the weight of the cores to 780 grams, a 29.7% w/w increase. A coating solution containing 200 grams PEG 800 and 200 grams  $\text{TiO}_2$  in 1.5 liters of water is sprayed on the cores under the same conditions. The harvested product weighs 1180 grams, a net increase in weight of 97% over the original cores.

Example 10 - Spray-Coating of Protease Concentrate With Added Salts Onto Non Pareils, With Gum Arabic and  $\text{TiO}_2$  Coating Agents.

A Uni-Glatt laboratory spray-coater is charged with 800 grams non pareil seeds. A 500 ml aqueous protease concentrate weighing 520 grams and containing 8% w/w protease and 24% w/w total solids is modified by dissolving 100 grams of stabilizer salts in the concentrate. These additions increase the solution volume to 540 ml and the solution weight to 620 grams. The modified concentrate, with 6.7% w/w enzyme solids and 36.3% w/w total solids, is sprayed onto the fluidized cores at an inlet temperature of 50° C, an outlet temperature of 40° C, and an atomization air pressure of 3.5 bar. This increases the product weight to 1025 grams, a 28.1% w/w increase over the core weight. A coating solution containing 120 grams gum

arabic and 60 grams  $\text{TiO}_2$  is then sprayed over these cores resulting in a final product weight of 1205 grams, a net increase of 50.6% w/w.



Claims

We claim:

1. A process for providing a dry, dust-free particle from a fermentation broth in which an enzyme was produced, comprising:
  - a) introducing hydratable cores into a fluidized-bed spray-coater or building up hydratable cores in a fluidized-bed spray-coater and maintaining the cores suspended in the spray-coater's reaction chamber;
  - b) providing a fermentation broth containing from about 5% to about 100% w/w of the total solids therein of a water soluble or dispersible enzyme produced in the fermentation broth; a total solids content of 4% - 31% w/w of the fermentation broth such that the broth has a viscosity of 10 - 5,000 cps at room temperature; and
  - c) spraying the broth onto the core particles and evaporating the liquid to leave the broth solids coated on the core particles, such broth solids added to the core particles providing a total dry weight gain from 1% - 19% w/w.
2. A process according to Claim 1 further comprising the step:

- d) spraying a coating agent dissolved in liquid over the product of step (c) and evaporating the liquid to leave the coating agent over the solids of step (c) such that the total broth solids and coating agent added to the core particles provide a total dry weight gain of from 1% - 24% w/w over the initial weight of the core particles.
3. A process for providing a dry, dust-free particle from a fermentation broth in which an enzyme was produced, comprising:
- a) introducing hydratable cores into a fluidized-bed spray-coater or building up hydratable cores in a fluidized-bed spray-coater and maintaining the cores suspended in the spray-coater's reaction chamber;
  - b) providing a fermentation broth containing from about 5% to about 100% w/w of the total solids therein of a water soluble or dispersible enzyme produced in the fermentation broth; a total solids content of 31% - 55% w/w of the fermentation broth such that the broth has a viscosity of 10 - 5,000 cps at room temperature; and
  - c) spraying the broth onto the core particles and evaporating the liquid to leave the broth solids coated on the core

particles, such broth solids added to the core particles providing a total dry weight gain from 1% - 100% w/w.

4. A process according to Claim 3 further comprising the step:
  - d) spraying a coating agent dissolved in liquid over the product of step (c) and evaporating the liquid to leave the coating agent over the solids of step (c) such that the total broth solids and coating agent added to the core particles provide a total dry weight gain of from 1% - 100% w/w over the initial weight of the core particles.
5. A process according to Claim 1 or Claim 3 wherein the fermentation broth has been filtered to remove microorganism cells or cell debris.
6. A process according to Claim 1 or Claim 3 wherein the fermentation broth has been processed by a protein purification or concentration technique.
7. A process according to Claim 1 or Claim 3 wherein the fermentation broth has had metallic salts, sugars, pigments, binders, stabilizers, plasticizers or fragrances added to it.
8. A process according to Claim 1 or Claim 3 wherein the enzyme

is a protease.

9. A process according to Claim 1 or Claim 3 wherein the enzyme is a lipase.
10. A process according to Claim 1 or Claim 3 wherein the enzyme is a cellulase.
11. A process according to Claim 1 or Claim 3 wherein the enzyme is an alkaline protease.
12. A process according to Claim 1 or Claim 3 wherein the enzyme is an amylase.
13. A process according to Claim 1 or Claim 3 further comprising the step:  
  
utilizing a spray-coater with a filter or scrubber located outside of the spray-coater reaction chamber.
14. A process according to Claim 13 wherein the spray-coater has an automatic filter shaking device.
15. A process according to Claim 14 further comprising the step:

**27**

turning off said automatic filter shaking device  
during the last 5 minutes of the coating process.

**SUBSTITUTE SHEET**

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No. PCT/US91/07675

<b>I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> (If several classification symbols apply, indicate all) <sup>6</sup> According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC IPC(5): C12N 9/00; B 05 D 7/00 U.S.C1.: 435/183; 427/212, 213, 220																							
<b>II. FIELDS SEARCHED</b> <div style="text-align: center; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Minimum Documentation Searched <sup>7</sup></div> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 25%; text-align: left; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Classification System</th> <th style="text-align: left; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Classification Symbols</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">U.S.C1.</td> <td style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">435/183; 427/212, 213, 220</td> </tr> </table> <div style="text-align: center; border-top: 1px solid black; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched <sup>8</sup></div> <p style="padding: 5px;">APS, Biosis, CAS, Search items: (fluidized# bed? and spray# coater?), (enzyme? or lipase? or cellulase? or protease? or amylase?).</p>			Classification System	Classification Symbols	U.S.C1.	435/183; 427/212, 213, 220																	
Classification System	Classification Symbols																						
U.S.C1.	435/183; 427/212, 213, 220																						
<b>III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <sup>9</sup></b> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: left; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Category <sup>*</sup></th> <th style="text-align: left; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Citation of Document, <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages <sup>12</sup></th> <th style="width: 15%; text-align: left; border-bottom: 1px solid black;">Relevant to Claim No. <sup>13</sup></th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">X</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">US, A, 4,759,956 (Amer et al) 26 July 1988. See entire document.</td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">1-16</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">A</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">US, A, 4,858,552 (Glatt et al) 22 August 1989. See entire document.</td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">1-16</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">Y</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">US, A, 4,707,287 (Herdeman) 17 November 1987. See entire document.</td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">1-16</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">Y</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">US, A, 695,548 (Cantor et al) 22 September 1987. See entire document.</td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">1-16</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">Y</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">US, A, 3,382,093 (Nack) 07 May 1968. See entire document.</td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">1-16</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">Y</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">US, A, 3,117,027 (Lindlof et al) 07 January 1964. See entire document.</td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;">1-16</td> </tr> </table>			Category <sup>*</sup>	Citation of Document, <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages <sup>12</sup>	Relevant to Claim No. <sup>13</sup>	X	US, A, 4,759,956 (Amer et al) 26 July 1988. See entire document.	1-16	A	US, A, 4,858,552 (Glatt et al) 22 August 1989. See entire document.	1-16	Y	US, A, 4,707,287 (Herdeman) 17 November 1987. See entire document.	1-16	Y	US, A, 695,548 (Cantor et al) 22 September 1987. See entire document.	1-16	Y	US, A, 3,382,093 (Nack) 07 May 1968. See entire document.	1-16	Y	US, A, 3,117,027 (Lindlof et al) 07 January 1964. See entire document.	1-16
Category <sup>*</sup>	Citation of Document, <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages <sup>12</sup>	Relevant to Claim No. <sup>13</sup>																					
X	US, A, 4,759,956 (Amer et al) 26 July 1988. See entire document.	1-16																					
A	US, A, 4,858,552 (Glatt et al) 22 August 1989. See entire document.	1-16																					
Y	US, A, 4,707,287 (Herdeman) 17 November 1987. See entire document.	1-16																					
Y	US, A, 695,548 (Cantor et al) 22 September 1987. See entire document.	1-16																					
Y	US, A, 3,382,093 (Nack) 07 May 1968. See entire document.	1-16																					
Y	US, A, 3,117,027 (Lindlof et al) 07 January 1964. See entire document.	1-16																					
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p><sup>*</sup> Special categories of cited documents: <sup>10</sup></p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> </div> <div style="width: 50%;"> <p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p> <p>"A" document member of the same patent family</p> </div> </div>																							
<b>IV. CERTIFICATION</b> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border-bottom: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search</td> <td style="width: 50%; border-bottom: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">Date of Mailing of this International Search Report <span style="float: right; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">09 MAR 1992</span></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">08 January 1992</td> <td style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">International Searching Authority</td> <td style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">Signature of Authorized Officer</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">ISA/US</td> <td style="padding: 5px;">Michael Meller </td> </tr> </table>			Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report <span style="float: right; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">09 MAR 1992</span>	08 January 1992		International Searching Authority	Signature of Authorized Officer	ISA/US	Michael Meller													
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report <span style="float: right; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">09 MAR 1992</span>																						
08 January 1992																							
International Searching Authority	Signature of Authorized Officer																						
ISA/US	Michael Meller																						

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

## III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)

Category *	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No
A	US, A, 2,561,392 (Marshall) 24 July 1951. See entire document.	1-16
A	US, A, 2,059,983 (Dent et al) 03 November 1936. See entire document.	1-16
Y	US, A, 4,973,417 (Falholt) 27 November 1990. See entire document.	1-16

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**